with menus and the All-American menu program -- the comments about Mr. Thomas and the seminars or menu programs was as a cook?

A. "That's correct.

Q. "Did you ever see Mr. Thomas perform as a manager at all within the Denny's organization?

A. "No, I didn't.

Q. "Did you ever see him function as a unit aide?

A. "No, I didn't.

Q. "It's my understanding that most people who worked with Ronnie Thomas like Ronnie Thomas?

A. "Everybody likes Ronnie.

Q. "Do you know of any person within the Denny's organization who at any time made a derogatory or negative comment about Ronnie Thomas based on his race?

A. "No, I never heard any comments like that.

Q. "That's all I have."

MR. STIDHAM: Your Honor, this is redirect by Mr. Gaskill.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GASKILL

READ BY MR. STIDHAM:

Q. "It's true, is it not, that you didn't work at the Sheridan and Admiral store with Mr. Thomas every day?

A. "That's true.

Q. "Were there days when you did work with Mr. Thomas?

A. Right.

Q. So if Mr. Rapier sat down with Mr. Thomas and gave him a unit promotable program, that would not be something you would know; isn't that correct?

A. Right.

Q. And if Mr. Thomas did not do any work on that unit promotable program, that's something you would not know; isn't that correct?

A. Right.

Q. Now, did you ever discuss with Mr. Rapier giving Mr. Thomas projects and a unit promotable packet in 1989?

A. No.

Q. When you met with Mr. Rapier and you said you went to talk to him about Mr. Thomas, did you learn that he had been talking to Mr. Thomas privately?

A. Repeat the question?

Q. Yes. Did you ever learn -- excuse me. Did you learn when you were going to Mr. Rapier about Mr. Thomas that Mr. Rapier had been talking to him privately, and by privately, I mean without anybody present?

A. Which instance -- I mean I can't understand what you're asking.

Q. You said to this jury, I went to Mr. Rapier and Mr. Thomas; did you not say that?

A. Are you talking about for a promotion?

itself.

Q. It was paid for by your lawyers, was it not?

A. Yes, that is correct.

Q. It was arranged by your lawyers, was it not?

A. Arranged, yes, that is correct.

Q. It was conducted in your lawyers' office, was it not?

A. Lawyers' office, I had -- most of the time, yes, that is true.

Q. How many meetings did you have with Ms. Strakoulas in your lawyers' office paid by them?

A. A number of meetings. I can't give you an exact amount, sir.

Q. You were there 16 times with Ms. Strakoulas, were you not?

A. That would be correct then.

Q. And more times with Ms. Efird, were you not, Ms. Pat Efird?

A. Pat Efird?

Q. Yes.

A. No, I saw Ms. Efird a short period of time.

Q. Was Ms. Efird a person hired by your lawyers to teach you grammar?

A. To teach me grammar?

Q. Yes.

A. To enhance my grammar?

Q. Yes.

A. Yes, my lawyers did hire her.

Q. Your lawyers paid for her.

A. Yes, yes, that's correct.

Q. You've never paid a dime for that, have you, sir?

A. Oh, no, sir.

Q. But there was an event coming up at the time that you were working toward, was it not?

A. I was always working towards bettering myself.

Q. Was there not a trial set in this case right at the time you were taking these grammar lessons paid for by your lawyers?

A. A trial set, a trial date?

Q. Trial date set.

A. You can't exactly go by trial dates.

Q. Was there not a trial date set that you were working toward when you were taking these grammar lessons?

A. On, yeah, I guess so, I'm sorry.

Q. And wasn't one time it was interrupted where you stopped taking the grammar lessons because the trial was postponed?

A. It was interrupted because you said you had a operation or something like that.

Q. But you postponed the grammar lessons while I was off having an operation; is that your testimony?

A. I have no idea. Wait a minute, I'm sorry, sir. I didn't